

EVALUATION METHOD/PAPERS

THINKING (20 points)	
Does Not Meet Criteria	Illogical reasoning/Ignores any qualifying factors/ Unclear purpose
Meets Criteria	Logical connections/Recognizes more than one view/Some personal insight
Exceeds Criteria	Puts issue or event in larger context/Insightful consideration of implications
DEVELOPMENT (20 points)	
Does Not Meet Criteria	Few or supporting points/Weak organization
Meets Criteria	Reflects a plan or incorporates specifics and examples for support/Clarity present
Exceeds Criteria	Sustained and insightful discussions/All points relevant to purpose/Good synthesis or conclusion drawn
CORRECTNESS/STYLE (20 points)	
Does Not Meet Criteria	Frequent and serious grammatical errors/Incoherent syntax/Weak connections
Meets Criteria	Strong connections/Appropriate terminology/Concise style/Few distracting errors
Exceeds Criteria	Mature style/Distinct voice/No distracting errors
USE OF SOURCES (20 points)	
Does Not Meet Criteria	Plagiarism/Inappropriate use of sources/Incorrect documentation form
Meets Criteria	Correct documentation form/Good integration of resources
Exceeds Criteria	Strong synthesis of student thinking with resources
FORMAT (20 points)	
Does Not Meet Criteria	Handwritten/Does not follow APA guidelines
Meets Criteria	Typewritten, double spaced/Follows APA guidelines/Few errors
Exceeds Criteria	Perfect APA/No errors